

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/699,077	ROSS, LESLIE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hai Vo	1771	

**All Participants:**

(1) Hai Vo.

(2) Jay Franklin.
**Status of Application: 71**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 December 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
**Claims discussed:**
16, 21 and 26
**Prior art documents discussed:**
Byma (US 6,413,613)
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
See Continuation Sheet
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hai Vo  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The composite sheet material having a uniform thickness would render the claims unobvious over the Byma reference. Applicant's representative agrees to amend the claims listed above in a manner as suggested by the examiner to place the instant claims in condition for allowance.